

**Basic Information Sheet  
Evaluation (DFATD, ADH & SHO)**

Country/Response: **Philippines- Typhoon Haiyan Response (THR)**

Primary intent: Determine the impact of the program on target communities and beneficiaries, primarily in the relief phase, with limited ongoing target communities in recovery phase

<b>Objectives of data collection</b>	<p>Measure the relevance,<sup>1</sup> effectiveness,<sup>2</sup> timeliness<sup>3</sup> and efficiency<sup>4</sup> of the strategies and interventions applied by THR to address the needs in target communities, primarily in the relief phase of the response:</p> <p>1. measure impact of relief phase programming:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• food</li> <li>• NFI distributions (emergency shelter, hygiene kits, solar lamps)</li> <li>• education (distributions and temporary schools)</li> <li>• health (WAYCS)</li> </ul> <p>2. measure impact of the following ongoing recovery activities in target areas covered by grants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• cash for work</li> <li>• shelter</li> <li>• WASH</li> <li>• health</li> <li>• education</li> <li>• livelihoods</li> </ul>																														
<b>Budget</b>	USD 89, 193																														
<b>Research team</b>	<p><b>HH survey/CL team:</b> 10 hired enumerators x 4 areas = 40; plus 4 WV team leaders (DME)</p> <p><b>FGD team:</b> 5 hired enumerators x 4 areas = 20; plus 4 WV team leaders (DME)</p> <p><b>translation:</b> DME officers</p> <p><b>FGD emerging themes:</b> Dex, Merald, July, Carla, Fidel, Andy, Rachel</p> <p><b>qualitative findings:</b> Dex, Merald, July, Carla, Fidel, Andy, Rachel</p> <p><b>KII analysis (RTE data):</b> Pat, Lou, Christian, Pathy, Lenie</p> <p><b>CL Analysis(Initial Findings):</b> Pat, Lou, Christian, Pathy, Lenie</p> <p><b>HH analysis, desk review and findings:</b> Maryada</p>																														
<b>Sampling unit</b>	<p>HHs</p> <p>Girls, boys (FGDs)</p> <p>Community Leaders (KII)</p> <p>Key WV Staff (RTE data)</p>																														
<b>Eval tools</b>	<p>HH questionnaire (phones)</p> <p>FGD guidelines</p> <p>CL questionnaire (phones)</p>																														
<b>Sampling method</b>	<p><b>HH survey:</b> random sample for clusters proportional to population; random walk to sample HHs</p> <p><b>CL survey:</b> one leader per each barangay in the HH survey</p> <p><b>FGDs:</b> purposive sample to include geographic range of communities; random sample of participants</p> <p><b>KIIs:</b> purposive sampling with partners &amp; staff</p>																														
<b>Sample size</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>HH</th> <th>CL survey</th> <th>FGD (girls)</th> <th>FGD (boys)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>East Leyte</td> <td>350</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>West Leyte</td> <td>350</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Panay</td> <td>350</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>North Cebu</td> <td>350</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>TOTALS</b></td> <td><b>1400</b></td> <td><b>40</b></td> <td><b>8</b></td> <td><b>8</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		HH	CL survey	FGD (girls)	FGD (boys)	East Leyte	350	10	2	2	West Leyte	350	10	2	2	Panay	350	10	2	2	North Cebu	350	10	2	2	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1400</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>
	HH	CL survey	FGD (girls)	FGD (boys)																											
East Leyte	350	10	2	2																											
West Leyte	350	10	2	2																											
Panay	350	10	2	2																											
North Cebu	350	10	2	2																											
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1400</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>																											
<b>Training</b>	Jan 27-30, 2015, Tacloban																														
<b>Data collection</b>	2-6 Feb, 2015																														
<b>Data analysis</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>HH questionnaire, full report</b> : Maryada</li> </ul>																														

<sup>1</sup> The degree to which the program addressed needs related to the recovery of typhoon-affected communities

<sup>2</sup> The degree to which to program produced its intended consequences

<sup>3</sup> The degree to which the program responded to critical needs in time, and consequences of delays

<sup>4</sup> The degree to which the leadership, support services and program systems functioned to enable successful programming

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FGD analysis, report</b> : Haiyan team, Rachel</li> <li>• <b>CL survey analysis, initial findings</b>: Haiyan team</li> <li>• <b>Staff KII data from RTE</b> : Haiyan team</li> <li>• <b>Desk Review</b>: Maryada</li> </ul>
<b>Dissemination</b>	Community feedback/validation activities, Haiyan team: 23 March – 3 April Zonal dissemination workshop for WV staff, Haiyan team: 27 April – 8 May
<b>Report/Fact Sheet</b>	<b>Key dates:</b> 27 Feb: qual draft report to Maryada 23 March: first draft of full report to Haiyan 3 April: Haiyan edits and community validation findings to Maryada 27 April: final report and fact sheet completed

	January			February				March				April			
Weeks	Wk 2	Wk 3	Wk 4	Wk 1	Wk 2	Wk 3	Wk 4	Wk 1	Wk 2	Wk 3	Wk 4	Wk 1	Wk 2	Wk 3	Wk 4
Document, KII findings to MV	9	16 (RTE Data)													
Training			26-30												
Data Collection				2-6											
Data analysis: CL					13										
Data Analysis: qualitative					9-13 emerging themes 27 draft report to MV										
Data analysis: HH survey					23 March: draft report to WV										
WV edits, community validation										3 April final input to MV					
Final report, fact sheet													April 27 MV sends to WV		
Dissemination													zonal workshops		